

Finance and Facilities Committee

Wednesday, September 21, 2016> Room 340-D

Hamilton-Wentworth District School Board 20 Education Court, P.O. Box 2558 Hamilton, ON L8N 3L1

AGENDA: 12:00 p.m.

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Delegation: Westdale School Council; Field Subcommittee
- 3. Approval of the Agenda
- 4. Capital Projects Summer 2016 Construction Update
- 5. Utilization of Additional School Condition Improvement (SCI) and School Renewal Allocation (SRA) Funding
- 6. School Facility Condition Assessment Data
- 7. Information Regarding Westmount Transportation
- 8. Resolution Into Committee of the Whole (Private Session) as per the Education Act, Section 207.2 (b) the disclosure of intimate, personal or financial information in respect of a member of the board or committee
- 9. Adjournment



TO: Finance and Facilities Committee

FROM: Manny Figueiredo, Director of Education

DATE: September 21, 2016

PREPARED BY: Stacey Zucker, Executive Superintendent of Board Operations and Treasurer

David Anderson, Senior Facilities Officer Agnese De Fazio, Manager, Capital Projects

RE: Capital Projects Summer 2016 Construction Update

Action □ Monitoring X

Background:

On June 9, 2016, Trustees were provided with an update on capital projects in process. This report is part of Facilities Management's on-going commitment to update Trustees regarding the status of capital projects, on a regular basis.

Staff Observations:

A summary of all the capital projects undertaken during this past summer, as well as those projects in various phases, is provided as **Appendix A**. This summary is categorized by each project's source of funding and project initiative. The project status updates are current as of September 9, 2016.

Conclusion:

A significant amount of capital projects were completed this past summer and staff continue to initiate new projects, which include school renewal work, Secondary Facility Benchmark Strategy and new school construction projects.

There are a number of factors affecting the progress of capital projects, in particular:

- Regulatory Approvals (i.e. municipal Site Plan Approval)
- Unforeseen site conditions
- Delays with material deliveries
- Asbestos abatement.

Board staff will continue to update Trustees as to the status of these projects, on a regular basis.

School	Description	Budget		Phase	Project Status
		Scho	ool Renewal S	Strategy	
					Construction start - late Dec. 2016
		١.			Subst. Perf Spring 2017
A.M. Cunningham	HVAC upgrades	\$	275,000	DD	Occupancy - Spring 2017
					Tender - Sept. 16, 2016
					Construction start - Fall 2016
		١.		(-	Subst. Perf Winter 2016
Gordon Price	Universal Ortho. Barrier-free washroom	\$	200,000	WD/Tender	Occupancy - Dec. 12, 2016
		١.		Close-out / Deficiency	
Sherwood	Stucco Repairs (Gym & Auditorium ext. walls)	\$	350,000	Review	Complete
					Window Replacement - Shop Dwg Phase, window installation
		١.		_	anticipated start early Oct. 2016,
Sir Winston Churchill	Window Replacement	\$	3,000,000	Construction	Subst. Perf Winter 2017
					Washrooms, painting, front/rear entrance ramps - complete.
	Washrooms, front/rear entrances, ext.	١.		_	Front/rear entrance door replacements - on-going - to be
Viscount Montgomery	ramps/walkways, hallway LED lighting & painting	\$	400,000	Construction	completed after-hours/weekends.
	Skylight replacement - Maint	١.		_	Subst. Perf Fall 2016
Waterdown D H S	Atrium/Library/Daycare	\$	500,000	Construction	Occupancy - Fall 2016
l		١.		Close-out / Deficiency	
Various Schools	Accessibility - student accommodation	\$	112,000	Review	Complete
l		١.			
Various Schools	Outdoor ground sign	\$	440,000	Multiple	Installation ongoing or complete
					Subst. Perf Winter 2016
Various Schools	Pilot Project: Elementary playfield restoration	\$	400,000	SD	(weather permitting)
		_	400.000	Close-out / Deficiency	
Various Schools	Concrete walkways & Paving	\$	108,000	Review	Complete
				Chan Duga-/Massacial	Installation on going Fall 2016 offers have
Mariana Cala a ala	la alida um la ali um ana da a	,	250.000		Installation on-going Fall 2016 after-hours.
Various Schools	Lockdown lock upgrades	\$	250,000	Delivery	Subst. Perf Winter 2017
			Other		
					Occupancy achieved for Classrooms & Offices.
					Auditorium & Gym - construction on-going.
Dalewood	Renovations	\$	10,775,000	Construction	Subst. Perf Fall 2016

LEGEND: SD - Schematic Design DD - Design Development WD - Working Drawings

Capital Projects Update

School	Description		Budget	Phase	Project Status
					BPA submission - late Sept. 2016
					Subst. Perf Summer 2017
Franklin Road	Interior renovations, new Gym & Daycare addition	\$	1,932,852	Construction	Occupancy - Fall 2017
					Construction on-going. Demolition 100% complete, Interior renovations 90% complete, Addition 30% complete. Subst. Perf Winter 2017 Occupancy (Addition) - Winter 2016
Mount Hope	School Consolidation - Addition/Renovations	Ś	2,886,737	Construction	Contingency Plan in-place for fire exiting, as approved by Fire Dept.
Pauline Johnson Prince of Wales	School Consolidation - Addition/Renovations FDK upgrades	\$	300,000 475,266	Construction Close-out / Deficiency Review	Construction on-going. Demolition 100% complete, Interior renovations 90% complete, Addition 30% complete. Subst. Perf Winter 2017 Occupancy (Addition) - Winter 2017 Construction hoarding revised to provide additional space for students to assemble during pick up/drop off periods, new parking lots paved & B-F walkways complete. Complete
					FDK - Subst. Perf Fall 2016
					Gym & Classroom Addition - Subst. Perf Winter 2016
Ridgemount	School Consolidation - Addition/Renovations	\$	4,020,059	Construction	
		New	School Cons	truction	
Reverby Central (New)	New School Construction (consolidation of Beverly		7 542 105	WD	Anticipated Construction Start - Late Spring 2017 Subst. Perf Summer 2018 Anticipated School Opening - 2018/19 school year *Dependent upon MOECC & SPA timely approvals.
Beverly Central (New)	Central & Dr. Seaton)	\$	7,542,105	WD	Anticipated Construction Start - Late Spring 2017
	New School Construction (consolidation of		0.007.000	25	Subst. Perf Summer 2018 Anticipated School Opening - 2018/19 school year
Greensville (new)	Greensville & Spencer Valley)	\$	9,087,829	DD	*Dependent upon MOECC & SPA timely approvals.
North SS	New School Construction	Ś	21 020 111	WD	80% WD Cost Estimate phase Anticipated Construction Start - Late Fall 2016 Subst. Perf Late Summer 2018
נג ווו וטאו	New School Construction	Ş	31,839,111	VVD	Subst. Pert Late Summer 2018

LEGEND:

SD - Schematic Design DD - Design Development

WD - Working Drawings

School	Description		Budget	Phase	Project Status			
					Land Acquisition/OPA/Rezoning phase on-going.			
					Anticipated Construction Start - TBC			
Nora F. Henderson (new					Subst. Perf TBC			
South SS)	New School Construction	\$	33,482,300	DD	*Note: School Opening in Sept. 2019 at risk.			
					Subst. Perf Late Fall 2016			
Tiffany Hills	New School Construction	\$	12,015,873	Construction	Occupancy - Late Fall 2016			
	Secondary School Program Strategy							
				Close-out / Deficiency				
Sir Winston Churchill	Aviation/Aerospace SHSM Retrofits	\$	200,000	Review	Complete			
				Close-out / Deficiency				
Glendale	Auditorium renovations incl. seating	\$	447,297	Review	Complete			



TO: Finance and Facilities Committee

FROM: Manny Figueiredo, Director of Education

DATE: September 21, 2016

PREPARED BY: Stacey Zucker, Executive Superintendent of Board Operations and Treasurer

David Anderson, Senior Facilities Officer Agnese De Fazio, Manager, Capital Projects

RE: Utilization of Additional School Condition Improvement (SCI) and School

Renewal Allocation (SRA) Funding

Action

Monitoring X

Background:

On July 12, 2016 the Ministry issued a memorandum to Directors of Education and Superintendents of Business (2016:B13 "New Renewal Funding to Keep Schools in a State of Good Repair" included as Appendix A) announcing a commitment of an additional \$1.1 billion in renewal funding to school boards for the 2015-16 and 2016-17 school years. This is in response to the backlog of school renewal needs identified through the Ministry's Building Condition Assessment Program, as well as the need to address ongoing repairs and maintenance in schools.

The breakdown of additional renewal funding allocation for HWDSB is as follows:

2015-16

SCI – an additional \$10,298,645 SRA – an additional \$928,355

2016-17

SCI – an additional \$11,977,740 SRA – an additional \$898,156

These additional funding amounts essentially double the Board's SCI funding for 2015-16 and 2016-17 – now totaling \$22,059,047 and \$23,171,890 respectively.

As part of this announcement, the Ministry amended the "80/20" requirement on how SCI funding is to be spent. The amendment allows for a new "70/30" requirement. That is, at least 70% of SCI funding is to be spent on major building components and systems (eg: roofs, boilers, HVAC, plumbing, electrical and windows) and at most 30% of SCI to be spent on other components deemed less critical (eg: carpets, tiles and pavement).

The Ministry recognized that with the timing of this additional funding, Boards may not have had the opportunity to spend the additional 2015-16 funds by August 31, 2016 and have advised that any unspent funds can be carried forward to the following school year.

Staff Observations:

The additional funding will allow staff to continue to invest in major building components and site renewal, as well as the less critical building components that are a visible sign of deterioration and can have a negative impact on students, staff and communities when not adequately maintained over time.

Appendix B provides an overview of how staff feels these funds would best be utilized.

The intent was to complement the revitalization projects at secondary schools slated to receive renovations as part of the approved Secondary School Facility Benchmark Strategy as well as utilizing the Guiding Principles of the Overall Capital Strategy set out in Appendix A of the report dated May 19, 2016.

Conclusion:

The additional funding received by the Board will allow staff to plan and execute a number of projects sooner than anticipated. This will assist staff in achieving the targets set out in the Annual Plan related to the Strategic Direction of School Renewal.

Ministry of Education

Office of the ADM
Financial Policy and Business Division
900 Bay Street

20th Floor, Mowat Block Toronto ON M7A 1L2 Ministère de l'Éducation

Bureau du sous-ministre adjoint Division des politiques financières et des opérations 900, rue Bay 20° étage, Édifice Mowat Toronto ON M7A 1L2



2016: B13

MEMORANDUM TO: Directors of Education

Superintendents of Business

FROM: Gabriel F. Sékaly

Assistant Deputy Minister

Financial Policy and Business Division

DATE: July 12, 2016

New Renewal Funding to Keep Schools in a State of SUBJECT:

Good Repair

A key component of the Ministry of Education's Achieving Excellence vision is the provision of safe and healthy learning environments to support student achievement and well-being. Today, in support of our vision, I am pleased to inform you that the Ministry is making a historic, multi-year investment in education infrastructure to keep schools across Ontario in a state of good repair.

The Ministry is committing an additional \$1.1 billion in renewal funding to school boards for the 2015-16 and 2016-17 school years to keep schools in a state of good repair. This additional funding responds to both the identified school renewal backlog identified through the Ministry's Condition Assessment Program and the ongoing need to conduct regular repairs and maintenance in schools. The announcement of this funding at this time is intended to allow boards the opportunity to supplement their existing school renewal activities this summer and address critical building needs that may otherwise have been deferred.

Please note that the approval of the Lieutenant Governor in Council is required to make in-year amendments to the Grants for Student Needs regulation for the 2015-16 and 2016-17 school years. We expect to have these amendments made by this summer, and will inform boards when they have been approved.

New Annual Renewal Investment to Keep Schools in a Good State of Repair

The Ministry's investment in additional, multi-year school renewal funding, starting in the current 2015-16 school year, will supplement the Ministry's existing funding programs – School Condition Improvement (SCI) funding and the School Renewal Allocation (SRA). The Ministry is committing the following total additional investments in school renewal funding for school boards for the 2015-16 and 2016-17 school years:

School Year	School Condition Improvement	School Renewal Allocation	Total Additional Investment in School Renewal
2015-16	\$460 million	\$40 million	\$500 million
2016-17	\$535 million	\$40 million	\$575 million

The funding increases to both SCI and SRA recognize the importance of undertaking major building and site renewal work, as well as repairs and maintenance issues that are more aesthetic in nature or that do not meet the threshold for capitalization. **Appendix A** provides the revised 2015-16 and 2016-17 SCI allocations for each board. **Appendix B** provides the tabled amounts for the increased SRA funding for each board for 2015-16 and 2016-17.

The Ministry is aware that with the timing of this announcement occurring at this time in the current school year, boards may not have sufficient opportunity to spend the additional 2015-16 funding by August 31, 2016. Please note that school boards will be able to carry over any unspent funding to the following school year.

As usual, the Ministry expects that school boards will spend their SCI and SRA funds on schools that need to remain open. For schools that are scheduled to be closed or are planned to be part of an upcoming accommodation review, renewal funds should only be used to address renewal needs that could compromise the continuing operation of these schools in the short-term.

1. Increased School Condition Improvement Funding

In 2011, the Ministry began a five year inspection of the condition and renewal needs of eligible schools across the province through the Condition Assessment Program. In 2014, the Ministry was able to use the data collected from the first three years of facility inspections to support an increase in SCI funding to boards by \$1.25 billion over three years, starting in the 2014-15 school year.

In 2015, the Ministry completed the first full cycle of school inspections. The results of the full province-wide assessment identified an aggregate school renewal backlog across the province of almost \$16 billion and an average Facility Condition Index (FCI) of 29%.

The Ministry's analysis of the identified renewal needs has shown that in many cases, the renewal backlog consists of high priority needs to major building components and systems, such as roofs, boilers, HVAC, plumbing, electrical, and windows. Addressing the renewal needs associated with these major building components is critical to ensuring that the structural integrity of school buildings is preserved so that schools can remain safe and healthy. These assessments also identified the poor condition of non-critical components of a school building, such as carpets, tiles, and pavement. While these components are non-critical to the operation of the school, they are a visible sign of a school's deterioration and have a negative impact on students, staff, and the community when not adequately maintained over time.

The Ministry is substantially increasing province-wide annual SCI funding, as noted above. This additional funding will ensure that school boards can continue to invest in major building and site renewal needs that are depreciable in nature. The Ministry is allocating this additional funding for both the 2015-16 and 2016-17 school years using the same methodology as that used for the original 2016-17 SCI funding allocations announced in memorandum **2016:SB9**, *2016-17 School Condition Improvement Funding*, dated April 1, 2016.

The Ministry is also amending the existing "80/20" requirement, introduced for the 2015-16 school year, on how SCI funding is spent on major building systems and components (80 percent) and building interiors and site components (20 percent). The Ministry is amending this requirement so that at least 70 percent of SCI funding is to be spent on major building systems and components and at most 30 percent on building interiors, site components, and other components considered non-critical. However, even with the new "70/30" requirement, boards will still have discretion to spend up to 100 percent of all SCI funding on major building systems and components.

Any unspent SCI funding from one school year may be carried over to the following year. School boards are reminded that they must fully draw down any unspent SCI funding from prior years before they can access any new SCI funding amounts. Also, the Ministry expects boards to use SCI funding in schools that are expected to remain open and operating for at least five years.

2. Reporting of School Condition Improvement Expenditures

School boards are reminded that all SCI-funded expenditures must be depreciable in nature, and must be reported in VFA.facility (formerly TCPS). This includes the additional investments in SCI being announced in this memorandum.

Please see memoranda **2016:SB9** and **2015:SB37**, Reporting Renewal Expenditures and an Update on the School Condition Assessment Program, dated December 18, 2015.

3. Increased Funding for the School Renewal Allocation

The Ministry is also increasing funding for the School Renewal Allocation (SRA) to help school boards tackle ongoing repairs and maintenance in school buildings that are more aesthetic in nature or that do not meet the threshold for capitalization. The Ministry is

targeting additional SRA funding to boards to allow them to undertake work to noticeably improve the visible appearance of a school, both inside and outside.

The Ministry is providing school boards with additional SRA funding, starting in the 2015-16 school year, as noted above. Please note that for both the 2015-16 and 2016-17 school years, the Ministry will allocate the additional SRA funding through a table amount in the Grants for Student Needs regulation, while the base SRA amounts for each of these years will continue to be allocated using the existing per-pupil benchmark formula basis.

4. Reporting of School Renewal Allocation Expenditures

As noted in memorandum **2015:SB37**, all capital expenditures funded by the School Renewal Allocation must be reported in VFA.facility beginning in the 2016-17 school year. This includes the additional SRA investments being announced in this memorandum.

5. Signage

School boards will be required to display signage that identifies the support of the Government of Ontario at the site of all school renewal construction work with a value of at least \$100,000. Signage will be provided to school boards by the Ministry of Education. School boards are then responsible for posting the signage for the projects in a prominent location. This should be done in a timely manner following the receipt of the signage. All signage production costs are covered by the Ministry of Education, including the cost of distributing the signage to school boards.

The Ministry looks forward to working in partnership with school boards as we invest in keeping our schools in a state of good repair. Improving learning environments is one of the best infrastructure investments we can make.

If you have questions or require additional information, please contact Mathew Thomas, Manager, Capital Policy and Programs Branch, at (416) 326-9920 or Mathew.P.Thomas@ontario.ca or Hitesh Chopra, Team Lead, Policy, Capital Policy and Programs Branch, at (416) 325-1887 or Hitesh.Chopra@ontario.ca.

Original signed by:

Gabriel F. Sékaly Assistant Deputy Minister Financial Policy and Business Division

cc: Superintendents and Managers of Facilities

Appendix A: Revised School Condition Improvement Allocation

DSB ID	District School Board Name	2015-16 Revised	2016-17 Revised
202.2		Allocation	Allocation
1	DSB Ontario North East	8,600,261	9,473,500
2	Algoma DSB	10,410,216	11,217,806
3	Rainbow DSB	10,158,880	11,027,532
4	Near North DSB	9,345,963	10,437,209
5.1	Keewatin-Patricia DSB	8,104,307	8,939,295
5.2	Rainy River DSB	4,247,367	4,741,335
6.1	Lakehead DSB	9,344,554	10,251,489
6.2	Superior-Greenstone DSB	4,933,340	5,369,725
7	Bluewater DSB	9,924,480	10,845,061
8	Avon Maitland DSB	9,024,355	9,882,594
9	Greater Essex County DSB	24,280,108	26,127,664
10	Lambton Kent DSB	15,663,352	16,652,032
11	Thames Valley DSB	45,181,050	48,855,353
12	Toronto DSB	225,780,292	255,899,527
13	Durham DSB	24,126,194	26,494,178
14	Kawartha Pine Ridge DSB	13,543,795	11,103,211
15	Trillium Lakelands DSB	8,271,255	8,438,541
16	York Region DSB	21,178,934	22,079,407
17	Simcoe County DSB	13,345,613	13,756,309
18	Upper Grand DSB	8,127,536	7,990,138
19	Peel DSB	61,480,153	66,908,093
20	Halton DSB	16,599,969	17,462,127
21	Hamilton-Wentworth DSB	22,059,047	23,171,890
22	DSB of Niagara	17,826,201	15,240,913
23	Grand Erie DSB	12,700,804	13,166,152
24	Waterloo Region DSB	16,568,602	16,232,360
25	Ottawa-Carleton DSB	49,478,375	54,499,312
26	Upper Canada DSB	21,374,673	23,728,679
27	Limestone DSB	13,094,741	14,493,643
28	Renfrew County DSB	6,159,758	6,732,137
29	Hastings and Prince Edward DSB	12,794,549	13,842,856
30.1	Northeastern Catholic DSB	2,719,411	2,635,669
30.2	Nipissing-Parry Sound Catholic DSB	1,747,362	1,966,086
31	Huron-Superior Catholic DSB	3,187,208	2,820,354
32	Sudbury Catholic DSB	3,673,260	3,644,815
33.1	Northwest Catholic DSB	1,138,425	1,248,914
33.2	Kenora Catholic DSB	1,186,775	1,301,906
34.1	Thunder Bay Catholic DSB	5,432,772	5,960,047
34.2	Superior North Catholic DSB	2,042,253	2,240,464
35	Bruce-Grey Catholic DSB	613,743	673,309
36	Huron-Perth Catholic DSB	477,543	507,999
37	Windsor-Essex Catholic DSB	7,306,220	7,870,575
38	London District Catholic School Board	5,743,422	5,370,512

DSB ID	District School Board Name	2015-16 Revised	2016-17 Revised
		Allocation	Allocation
39	St. Clair Catholic DSB	3,233,455	3,232,202
40	Toronto Catholic DSB	34,907,253	37,725,647
41	Peterborough V N C Catholic DSB	2,850,156	2,779,492
42	York Catholic DSB	13,592,065	14,628,131
43	Dufferin-Peel Catholic DSB	13,343,442	14,094,278
44	Simcoe Muskoka Catholic DSB	2,161,565	2,372,096
45	Durham Catholic DSB	4,506,060	4,926,579
46	Halton Catholic DSB	4,571,026	4,592,812
47	Hamilton-Wentworth Catholic DSB	7,357,702	8,083,619
48	Wellington Catholic DSB	959,885	1,001,238
49	Waterloo Catholic DSB	8,126,456	8,746,330
50	Niagara Catholic DSB	9,220,160	9,765,991
51	Brant Haldimand Norfolk Catholic DSB	2,672,411	2,665,228
52	Catholic DSB of Eastern Ontario	3,872,061	3,716,250
53	Ottawa Catholic DSB	14,649,920	16,238,819
54	Renfrew County Catholic DSB	3,588,372	3,900,460
55	Algonquin and Lakeshore Catholic DSB	5,624,185	5,531,827
56	CSD du Nord-Est de l'Ontario	658,030	589,143
57	CSD du Grand Nord de l'Ontario	3,407,836	3,762,846
58	CS Viamonde	8,336,191	9,134,682
59	CÉP de l'Est de l'Ontario	4,028,056	4,197,505
60.1	CSD catholique des Grandes Rivières	9,333,247	10,342,113
60.2	CSD catholique Franco-Nord	3,414,467	3,144,951
61	CSD catholique du Nouvel-Ontario	5,465,349	6,252,187
62	CSD catholique des Aurores boréales	580,862	287,875
63	CS catholique Providence	2,934,069	3,191,754
64	CSD catholique Centre-Sud	5,234,650	5,734,252
65	CSD catholique de l'Est ontarien	5,996,009	6,206,791
66	CSD catholique du Centre-Est de l'Ontario	6,377,942	6,854,184

Appendix B: Additional Investments in School Renewal Allocation, \$40M

DSB ID	District School Board Name	2015-16 Tabled	2016-17 Tabled
		Amount	Amount
1	DSB Ontario North East	376,115	363,704
2	Algoma DSB	300,771	299,996
3	Rainbow DSB	395,074	376,792
4	Near North DSB	286,499	282,964
5.1	Keewatin-Patricia DSB	212,716	213,527
5.2	Rainy River DSB	117,857	121,748
6.1	Lakehead DSB	256,650	242,716
6.2	Superior-Greenstone DSB	133,163	133,113
7	Bluewater DSB	373,146	378,118
8	Avon Maitland DSB	372,134	378,106
9	Greater Essex County DSB	702,724	710,585
10	Lambton Kent DSB	527,437	504,055
11	Thames Valley DSB	1,504,296	1,506,103
12	Toronto DSB	5,755,643	5,632,514
13	Durham DSB	1,199,783	1,230,618
14	Kawartha Pine Ridge DSB	650,974	646,687
15	Trillium Lakelands DSB	389,613	380,148
16	York Region DSB	1,948,517	1,978,298
17	Simcoe County DSB	928,111	944,130
18	Upper Grand DSB	602,768	609,779
19	Peel DSB	2,467,642	2,482,190
20	Halton DSB	1,098,422	1,116,860
21	Hamilton-Wentworth DSB	928,355	898,156
22	DSB of Niagara	765,858	746,884
23	Grand Erie DSB	567,681	560,340
24	Waterloo Region DSB	1,089,937	1,092,645
25	Ottawa-Carleton DSB	1,504,030	1,488,159
26	Upper Canada DSB	675,377	671,031
27	Limestone DSB	454,887	460,354
28	Renfrew County DSB	274,858	276,271
29	Hastings and Prince Edward DSB	373,379	368,234
30.1	Northeastern Catholic DSB	85,548	87,468
30.2	Nipissing-Parry Sound Catholic DSB	80,855	82,134
31	Huron-Superior Catholic DSB	137,443	139,778
32	Sudbury Catholic DSB	148,288	141,419
33.1	Northwest Catholic DSB	45,152	46,156
33.2	Kenora Catholic DSB	36,466	35,837
34.1	Thunder Bay Catholic DSB	185,975	187,269
34.2	Superior North Catholic DSB	53,030	56,778
35	Bruce-Grey Catholic DSB	88,703	91,839
36	Huron-Perth Catholic DSB	82,805	83,787
37	Windsor-Essex Catholic DSB	388,247	385,989

DSB ID	District School Board Name	2015-16 Tabled	2016-17 Tabled
		Amount	Amount
38	London District Catholic School Board	345,218	347,179
39	St. Clair Catholic DSB	169,157	173,310
40	Toronto Catholic DSB	1,756,567	1,768,925
41	Peterborough V N C Catholic DSB	244,066	252,607
42	York Catholic DSB	880,906	891,519
43	Dufferin-Peel Catholic DSB	1,269,489	1,298,867
44	Simcoe Muskoka Catholic DSB	331,134	349,932
45	Durham Catholic DSB	346,925	349,695
46	Halton Catholic DSB	528,288	536,364
47	Hamilton-Wentworth Catholic DSB	504,041	512,899
48	Wellington Catholic DSB	128,872	129,079
49	Waterloo Catholic DSB	364,655	375,103
50	Niagara Catholic DSB	416,204	413,282
51	Brant Haldimand Norfolk Catholic DSB	179,841	180,088
52	Catholic DSB of Eastern Ontario	227,413	232,037
53	Ottawa Catholic DSB	758,972	782,814
54	Renfrew County Catholic DSB	108,366	110,600
55	Algonquin and Lakeshore Catholic DSB	245,549	244,127
56	CSD du Nord-Est de l'Ontario	64,207	66,799
57	CSD du Grand Nord de l'Ontario	121,238	122,778
58	CS Viamonde	263,655	276,188
59	CÉP de l'Est de l'Ontario	292,992	295,014
60.1	CSD catholique des Grandes Rivières	298,074	275,176
60.2	CSD catholique Franco-Nord	83,014	86,428
61	CSD catholique du Nouvel-Ontario	240,110	226,670
62	CSD catholique des Aurores boréales	44,697	43,849
63	CS catholique Providence	214,590	216,340
64	CSD catholique Centre-Sud	312,605	324,044
65	CSD catholique de l'Est ontarien	273,817	273,921
66	CSD catholique du Centre-Est de l'Ontario	418,409	411,087

2015-16 School Condition Improvement (SCI)		
	\$ 10,832,047.00	
2015-16 SCI Top-up	\$ 3,000,000.00	Exterior (Window, Roof, etc.)
	\$ 2,000,000.00	Board Priority: Sports Field
	\$ 4,500,000.00	Board Priority: Science Labs
	\$ 500,000.00	Board Priority: Learning Commons
	\$ 298,645.00	Unallocated
	\$ 10,298,645.00	
2015-16 School Renewal Allocation (SRA)	\$ 250,000.00	Interior Renovations (Program Space, Washrooms, etc.)
	\$ 85,000.00	Health & Safety
	\$ 150,000.00	Accessibility / Accomodation Renovations
	\$ 150,000.00	Site work, Paving, Concrete
	\$ 100,000.00	Board Priorities
	\$ 193,355.00	Unallocated
	\$ 928,355.00	

2016-17 School Condition Improvement (SCI)		
	\$ 10,295,994.00	
2016-17 SCI Top-up	\$ 2,300,000.00	Exterior (Window, Roof, etc.)
	\$ 3,000,000.00	HVAC & Electrical
	\$ 1,000,000.00	Site Work, Paving, Contrete
	\$ 2,400,000.00	Interior Renovations (Program Space, Washrooms, etc.)
	\$ 1,500,000.00	Accessibility / Accomodation Renovations
	\$ 1,777,740.00	Unallocated
	\$ 11,977,740.00	_
2016-17 School Renewal Allocation (SRA)	\$ 250,000.00	Interior Renovations (Program Space, Washrooms, etc.)
	\$ 85,000.00	Health & Safety
	\$ 150,000.00	Accessibility / Accomodation Renovations
	\$ 150,000.00	Site work, Paving, Concrete
	\$ 100,000.00	Board Priorities
	\$ 163,156.00	Unallocated
	\$ 898,156.00	



TO: Finance and Facilities Committee

FROM: Manny Figueiredo, Director of Education

DATE: September 21, 2016

PREPARED BY: Stacey Zucker, Executive Superintendent of Board Operations and Treasurer

David Anderson, Senior Facilities Officer Agnese De Fazio, Manager, Capital Projects

RE: School Facility Condition Assessment Data

Action □ Monitoring X

Background:

On August 25, 2016, the Ministry issued a memorandum to Chairs of Board and Directors of Education (2016:B15 "School Facility Condition Assessment Data: 2011-15") attached as Appendix A. The memo identified that facility assessment data would be posted publicly on the Ministry's website.

The data, or Facility Condition Index (FCI), identified on the Ministry's website can vary from the FCI data provided in the Long Term Facilities Master Plan (LTFMP) by HWDSB. The following is provided to offer clarity on possible discrepancies between the Ministry and HWDSB values.

Staff Observations:

Facility Condition Index (FCI) is calculated as follows:

$$Facility \ Condition \ Index = \frac{5 \ Year \ Renewal \ Needs}{Building \ Replacement \ Value}$$

There are a number of reasons why the FCI reported by the Ministry could differ from the FCI used by HWDSB:

I. 5-Year Renewal Need dates

The Ministry's 5-year renewal need values are based on the year the building assessment occurred. **Example:** If the assessment was completed in 2013, then the 5-year renewal needs are from 2013-2017.

HWDSB's LTFMP 5-year renewal needs are calculated using 2015-2019 data which includes deferred items from the date of assessment, in this example, 2013.

Therefore, any needs that were identified as 6 or 7 year needs in 2013 have subsequently moved to 5-year needs in 2015 and the Board's FCI will be higher than the Ministry's.

2. Addressed Items in 5-year renewal

In the Spring of 2016, staff removed any addressed items from the 5-year renewal needs database. The Board's assessment data has been updated accordingly.

That is to say, if a building went under significant improvements, the FCI data was updated accordingly.

Therefore, if any needs were addressed, it would be likely that the Board's FCI would be lower than the Ministry's.

3. Inflation

The Ministry's data does <u>not</u> include an increase for inflation. However, the data HWDSB uses for the LTFMP does include an annual 4% inflation amount set by the software VFA, not HWDSB.

Therefore, even if there were no differences due to the other two reasons detailed above, the inclusion of inflation would cause the Board's FCI to be larger than the Ministry's.

Conclusion:

The Ministry has released publicly the FCI data for Boards across the Province. HWDSB uses FCI data in its long term planning and in assisting with decision making with regards to school renewal. The FCI used by the Ministry and the FCI used by the Board will not always be the same for the above noted reasons.

Ministry of Education

SUBJECT:

Office of the ADM Financial Policy and Business Division 900 Bay Street 20th Floor, Mowat Block Toronto ON M7A 1L2 Ministère de l'Éducation

Bureau du sous-ministre adjoint Division des politiques financières et des opérations 900, rue Bay 20° étage, Édifice Mowat Toronto ON M7A 1L2



2016: B15

MEMORANDUM TO: Chairs of Board

Directors of Education

FROM: Gabriel F. Sékaly

Assistant Deputy Minister

Financial Policy and Business Division

DATE: August 25, 2016

School Facility Condition Assessment Data: 2011-15

Assessment Cycle

The Ministry has committed to publicly post detailed information on the condition of Ontario's publicly funded schools and has been in discussion with board staff on releasing this data over the last several months. With the five-year school assessment cycle now complete and the data compiled, it is important that Ontarians can access such critical information about their local schools. As the government commits significant investments toward school repair and renewal, Ontarians have the right to a transparent resource for determining the necessity of such investments, and also for monitoring the results of these investments.

School Facility Condition Assessment Data collected between 2011 and 2015 has now been posted on the Ministry's website (http://www.edu.gov.on.ca/eng/parents/fci.html). During this period approximately 900 school buildings were assessed each year. This is twenty percent of the portfolio annually or approximately 4,500 buildings in total over the full assessment cycle. These facility assessments have occurred under the Ministry's School Facility Condition Assessment Program, which was designed in collaboration with a school board technical committee.

The assessments were completed by independent third-party facility assessors conducting a non-invasive inspection of school facilities across the province. The assessment team was comprised of two engineers; one with expertise in building design and construction and the other with expertise in building systems (e.g. mechanical and electrical).

The information contained in today's release is only for elementary and secondary schools that are open and operating in the 2015-16 school year. Schools that are closed (i.e. without elementary or secondary enrolment) are not included in this release.

The data release includes the following school information:

- School board name and number
- School name and building number
- City and postal code
- Weighted age
 - This takes into consideration additions and demolitions to original building
- Gross floor area in square meters for the entire building
- Facility enrolment
 - Total elementary and secondary enrolment for the 2015-16 school year
- Asset replacement value
 - This is based on current Ministry construction benchmarks to reflect the changes that have occurred over the five year cycle including the introduction of the variable area per pupil, site specific geographic adjustment factor and full day kindergarten
- Shared facility status
 - Facilities shared between two boards are listed under the name of each board operating in the facility (ownership is not a consideration).
- Total five year renewal at the time of assessment (i.e. year of assessment plus four (4) years):
 - As the assessment cycle occurred over 5 years, assessments have been adjusted for inflation. Note that a draft set of numbers were prereleased to boards for review prior to the final release. The prerelease renewal numbers were not adjusted for inflation.
- Total five year renewal needs by major building categories (adjusted for inflation):
 - Structure: includes roofs, windows and exterior doors
 - Interiors: includes interior doors, carpets and tiles
 - Services: includes electrical, plumbing, and HVAC
 - Site & other: includes storm sewers, parking lots and site lighting
- Facility condition index (total five year renewal ÷ asset replacement value)
- Assessment year

A technical paper is accompanying the release of this data, which explains all the data fields in more detail (http://www.edu.gov.on.ca/eng/parents/fci.html). Our intention is to provide you with detailed information to assist you in responding to any media inquiries about your local schools. As the renewal needs in this release are the five year renewal needs identified at the time of assessment, we suggest that boards be prepared to provide information regarding:

- any investments made or in progress at your local schools since the assessments (e.g. retrofits, maintenance, demolitions)
- upcoming school consolidations and closures
- programming changes and enhancements
- any other applicable details in your annual capital plan

On June 27th, 2016, the Ministry made a historic \$1.1 billion investment to repair and renew schools across the province. The additional funding responds to the detailed information collected through the School Facility Condition Assessment Program. The assessment program has been informative in guiding Ministry decision making and the Ministry has committed to continue the assessment program. We appreciate the support provided by school boards over the past five years and we hope to have your continued support as we move into the next assessment cycle.

Original signed by:

Gabriel F. Sékaly Assistant Deputy Minister Financial Policy and Business Division

Attachment: Technical Paper – School Facility Condition Assessment Data

cc: Senior Business Officials
Plant Managers
Trustee Associations
Principals Associations



TO: Finance and Facilities Committee

FROM: Manny Figueiredo, Director of Education

DATE: September 21, 2016

PREPARED BY: Stacey Zucker, Executive Superintendent of Board Operations and Treasurer

David Colley, Manager of Transportation, HWSTS

RE: Information Regarding Westmount Transportation

Action

Monitoring X

Background:

At the May 9, 2016, the Board passed the following motion:

- "a) That staff bring back a report providing the cost to provide transportation to any Westmount Secondary School student that resides outside of the walking distance; and
- b) That staff bring back a report providing the cost to provide HSR passes for any secondary student attending Westmount Secondary School that would be eligible for HSR passes to their home school."

This report is intended to provide the information requested.

Staff Observations:

At the time the information was gathered, there were 926 students who attend Westmount who reside outside the walking distance. For secondary students, the Board provides HSR bus passes at a cost of approximately \$575 each. In instances when HSR is not accessible, the Board provides alternate transportation in the form of taxis or black and yellow buses.

The cost associated with providing transportation to all students at Westmount is estimated to be between \$665,000 and \$1,235,000 depending on the alternate for of transportation used for the students who cannot access HSR. The calculation is attached as Appendix A.

In addition, at the time the information was gathered, there were 668 students who would be eligible for HSR passes if they attended their home school. The cost associated with providing HSR passes to students who would be receiving HSR passes at their home school is approximately \$383,750.

Conclusion:

Trustees requested that Board staff bring back a report detailing the costs of providing transportation in two different scenarios to Westmount students. The costs are provided above.

Costs Associated with Transporting Students to Westmount

Number of Students

Students With Access to HSR	747
Students Without Access to HSR	179
	926

Costs

If the Board uses taxis where HSR is not accessible:

HSR	747	\$ 575	\$ 429,525
Taxis	179	\$ 4,500	\$ 805,500
			\$ 1,235,025

OR

If the Board uses black and yellow buses where HSR is not accessible:

HSR		747	\$ 575	\$ 429,525
Black and Yellow	Big Buses	4	\$ 41,000	\$ 164,000
	Small Buses	2	\$ 36,000	\$ 72,000
				\$ 665,525