HWDSB

Section 1.5: Facility Condition Index



Facility Condition Index

Facility condition assessments are an analysis of a building's systems, services, site and components. Systems include the architectural, mechanical, electrical and plumbing elements of a building. Each system has many components which are all inspected for deficiencies through the facility conditions assessment. Each component is assessed and remaining service life is identified. Also known as a lifecycle, the remaining service life identifies the estimated number of years the component will function in proper condition. By identifying the remaining service life of building components, the facility condition assessment can identify replacement timing and estimated costs for building components. Replacement costs represent the renewal needs. Five-year renewal needs are the total cost of repairing or replacing all the components in a school which have five or fewer years in remaining service life.

Using the five-year renewal needs, a facility condition index (FCI) can be calculated. FCI is the ratio of 5-year renewal costs to the estimated replacement value of the school facility. To calculate the FCI, divide the total estimated five-year renewal needs by the estimated replacement value. FCI is represented as a percentage. The replacement value is the estimated dollar amount needed to replace a school of the same size, built with current Ministry of Education standards. A facility with a lower FCI will require less expenditure for remedial or renewal work relative to the facility's value.

 $Facility\ Condition\ Index = \frac{5\ Year\ Renewal\ Needs}{Building\ Replacement\ Value}$

School condition and the condition of learning environments is important when ensuring equity and safety for all students. HWDSB monitors facility condition through facility condition assessments completed by VFA Canada. VFA Canada has been tasked with assessing all the schools under the Ministry of Education in Ontario. Assessments have been underway since 2012 with all first school assessments completed by 2015. School are reassessed approximately every 5 years depending on capital project schedules and pending closures. Assessment data is housed by VFA Canada. Once assessments are complete it is the responsibility of the school board to update the facility condition database based on completed capital and maintenance projects. Twenty schools will be reassessed in the spring/summer of 2020.

Facility condition assessments and FCI are both valuable tools that assist boards in creating capital plans and assist in identifying facility needs. It is important to note that these assessments and the FCI are tools and only one factor in determining the facility condition of a building. FCI does not account for items such as accessibility, asbestos abatement, program updates and safe school initiatives. FCI is a tool that aides Facility Management staff in identifying major renewal needs and allows staff to monitor these items as they reach the end of their lifecycle. The table below lists FCI values of each HWDSB school. The schools are identified in 4 categories – good, average, fair and poor (definition of each provided in table).

2020 FCI will be updated once 2019 assessment data update is completed by VFA. The following is 2019 data for reference only.

curiosity • creativity • possibility



FCI Levels	HWDSB Schools
Good (0-20%)	Allan A. Greenleaf (15%)
<u> </u>	Ancaster Meadow (10%)
Facilities will look clean and functional	Bellmoore (1%)
	• Cathy Wever (6%)
Limited and manageable component and	• Dalewood (14%)
equipment failure may occur	Dr. J Edgar Davey (2%)
,	• Gatestone (7%)
Facilities will compete well for enrolment	• Guy Brown (2%)
	• Lawfield (7%)
Notes:	• Prince of Wales (15%)
	• Queen Victoria (16%)
2020 – 18 schools in good condition	• Ray Lewis (12%)
2019 – 18 schools in good condition	Ridgemount (14%)
2018 - 16 schools in good condition	Saltfleet (20%)
	• Sir William Osler (7%)
	Tiffany Hills (0%)
	Waterdown (17%)
	• Winona (1%)
Average (21-40%)	Balaclava (37%)
	 Cootes Paradise (30%)
Facilities are beginning to show signs of wear	 Dundas Valley (23%)
	• Earl Kitchener (32%)
More frequent component and equipment	• Gordon Price (40%)
failure may occur	Hillcrest (23%)
	• Janet Lee (23%)
Notes:	Lincoln M. Alexander (21%)
	Millgrove (39%)
2020 – 19 schools in average condition	• Mount Hope (21%) **
2019 - 20 schools in average condition	 Norwood Park (26%)
2018 - 26 schools in average condition	Orchard Park (40%)
1 approved school desures	Queen Mary (31%)
1 approved school closures	• Ryerson (31%)
	• Sir Allan MacNab (26%)
	Sir Isaac Brock (40%) – approved closure
	Sir Wilfrid Laurier (29%)
	 Viscount Montgomery (33%)
	Westmount (39%)

curiosity • creativity • possibility



FCI Levels	HWDSB Schools
Fair (41-64%)	A. M. Cunningham (41%)
	Ancaster High (43%)
	• Bennetto (43%)
Facilities will look worn with apparent and	Billy Green (56%)
increasing deterioration	Buchanan Park (57%)
	Cecil B. Stirling (50%)
Potential frequent component and equipment	• Central (50%)
failure may occur	• Chedoke (41%) **
The feetile will be at a commentative disadvantage	Dundana (64%)
The facility will be at a competitive disadvantage	Ecole Elementaire Michaelle Jean (63%)
and enrolment could be impacted	Frank Panabaker - North (53%) - approved closure
Notes:	Flamborough Centre (64%)
Notes:	Franklin Road (42%) **
2020 - 44 schools in fair condition	George L. Armstrong (51%)
2019 – 44 schools in fair condition	Glen Brae (60%) - approved closure
2019 – 43 schools in fair condition	Glen Echo (53%) - approved closure
2010 - 42 schools in fair condition	• Glendale (42%)
10 approved school closures	• Glenwood (59%)
To approved seriour closures	Green Acres (49%) - approved closure
	Helen Detwiler (47%)
	• Hess Street (45%)
	Holbrook (53%)
	Huntington Park (50%)
	James Macdonald (59%)
	• Lake Avenue (47%) **
	Mary Hopkin (62%)
	Memorial (Hamilton) (64%)
	Memorial (Stoney Creek) (41%) - approved closure
	Mountain View (63%) - approved closure
	Mountview (41%)
	Pauline Johnson (53%) **
	Queensdale (50%)
	R A Riddell (46%)
	R. L. Hyslop (51%) – approved closure
	Rockton (Dr John Seaton) (46%) - approved closure
	Sir Winston Churchill (43%)
	Spencer Valley (48%) - approved closure
	Spring Valley (Queens Rangers) (44%) - approved closure
	Strathcona (43%)
	Tapleytown (47%)
	Templemead (52%)
	• Westdale (58%)
	Westwood (42%)

curiosity · creativity · possibility



	• Yorkview (61%) **
FCI Levels	HWDSB Schools
Poor (65%+) Facilities will look worn with obvious	 Adelaide Hoodless (79%) ** Frank Panabaker - South (76%) Dundas Central (82%)
deterioration Failure in critical items more frequent. Occasional building shut down could occur. Management risk is high	 Elizabeth Bagshaw (88%) - approved closure Highview (71%) Lisgar (67%) Mount Albion (89%) ** Nora Frances Henderson (Temp Site) (66%) - approved closure
The facility will be at a competitive disadvantage and will be at a high risk of enrolment shortfall	 Parkdale (131%) ** Richard Beasley (78%) Rockton (Beverly Central) (74%) - approved closure
Notes: 2020 – 16 schools in poor condition 2019 – 18 schools in poor condition 2018 – 18 schools in poor condition	 Rosedale (75%) ** Rousseau (71%) Sherwood (74%) W. H. Ballard (73%) ** Westview (66%) **
3 approved school closures	

Notes for Charts:

Approved Closure: Indicates that school has been approved for closure pending replacement school or required capital work on other school sites to accommodate school closure

curiosity · creativity · possibility

^{**} Indicates capital work recently completed at this location may not have been taken into consideration for this analysis, and as such, may impact the FCI rating.