

**West Glanbrook Accommodation Review Committee**

**Working Group Meeting # 7**

**Wednesday, January 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2014**

**7:30 p.m. – 9:00 p.m.**

**Bell-Stone Elementary School**

**6025 White Church Road and Nebo Road, Mount Hope, ON**

**Minutes**

**ATTENDANCE:**

**Committee Members**

**Chair** – Sue Dunlop

**Voting Members** – Amie Vandevrie, Trisha Woehrle, Karen Stewart, Janet Lewis, Melanie Holjak, Theresa Weylie, Alyson Brave

**Non-Voting Members** – Rob Maudsley, Alex Johnstone

**Regrets**

**Voting Members** – Steve Paul

**Non-Voting Members** - Nil

**Resource Staff**

Ian Hopkins

**Recording Secretary**

Colleen Pyke

**Public** - 2 public attendees present

**1. Call to Order – Chair**

**2. Agenda**

**2.1 Additions/Deletions**

Addition of item #3- Minutes from working group meeting #6 January 15, 2014

**2.2 Approval of Agenda**

Approved by consensus

**3. Minutes from Working Group Meeting #6**

**3.1 Clarification**

Should read Working Group Meeting #6 in the title

**3.2 Approval of Minutes**

Approved by consensus, as amended

#### 4. Minutes from Public Meeting #4

##### 4.1 Clarification

None

##### 4.2 Approval of minutes

Approved by consensus

#### 5. Feedback from Public Meeting #4

##### 5.1 Discussion

The facilitator notes were distributed to the Committee and the group reviewed the feedback. The possibility of Mount Hope Elementary being over capacity in the near future was discussed. Ian Hopkins explained that the school can technically be over capacity, yet still house all the students in the school (brick and mortar). The projection listed (2017 at 104%) does not include the full day kindergarten addition. With the addition, the on-the-ground capacity will increase and therefore decrease the utilization.

The Committee was concerned about members of the public believing option 1 is based on a financial decision and not students. The Committee feels their work throughout the process was highly student driven.

The Committee noted that based on public feedback, it was a wise decision to put forth both options. There was a question regarding whether the report should state that the public was more in favour of option 2. Ian Hopkins noted that it was up to the Committee and that all facilitator notes are included as appendices to the final report.

#### 6. Accommodation Review Committee Report

##### 6.1 Discussion and Editing

The Committee discussed adding more to option 2 so the importance is balanced with option 1. The Committee would like to add to the report that the public feedback appeared to be more in favour of option 2.

**The Committee agreed by consensus to adjust the wording in option 2 that better emphasize the public feedback favouring this option.**

Ian Hopkins noted that the Committee could include an explanation that the recommendations are in not ranked in order of preference in the report.

**The Committee agreed by consensus to include that the options are not in a particular order.**

Sue Dunlop noted that the Trustees have an obligation to address the reference criteria and because option 2 does not, there is a chance it may not be considered. The Committee does need to keep this in mind.

The Committee would like to expand on how including Bellmoore could produce more solutions. Ian Hopkins suggested adding e.g. grade re-organization and boundary changes in brackets.

**The Committee agreed by consensus to include the examples.**

The Committee would like to include in option 1 that the on-the-ground capacity would increase with the FDK addition.

**The Committee agreed by consensus to include the change in OTG with the proposed FDK addition.**

## **6.2 Final Approval**

**Decision: The West Glanbrook Accommodation Review Committee voted unanimously to approve the final report.**

## **7. Minutes from Working Group Meeting #7**

### **7.1 Clarification**

None

### **7.2 Approval of minutes**

Approved by consensus

## **8. Adjournment**

**The meeting was adjourned at 8:55 p.m.**