

**Central Mountain Accommodation Review Committee  
Working Group Meeting # 11  
Tuesday, February 11, 2014  
6:07 p.m.**

**Ridgemount Elementary School  
65 Hester Street, Hamilton, ON**

**Minutes**

**ATTENDANCE:**

**Committee Members**

**Chair** - Michael Prendergast

**Voting Members** - Diana Asrani, Amber Bourque, Candice Campbell, Jenn Clarke, Leanne Friesen, Dianna Gamble, Adam Hinks, Marj Howden, Barbara Jalsevac, Kathy Long, Jamie McLean, Robert Nixon, Candice Romaker, Janeen Schaeffer, Margaret Toth, Lourie Vanderzyden, Philip Viana, Laurie Walowina

**Non-Voting Members** -, Linda Astle, Maria Carbone, Colin Hazell, Lillian Orban, Jennifer Robertson-Heath, Nanci-Jane Simpson, Doug Trimble

**Regrets**

**Voting Members** - Marney Campbell, Philip Erwood, Denise McCafferty, Sharon Miller, Patricia Mousseau

**Non-Voting Members** - Biljana Arsovic Filice, Julie Beattie

**Resource Staff**

Ian Hopkins, Ellen Warling

**Recording Secretary**

Kathy Forde

**Public** - 5 public attendees present - GL Armstrong (1); Linden Park (1); Queensdale (3)

**1. Call to Order**

Michael Prendergast called the meeting to order.

**2. Agenda**

**2.1 Additions/Deletions**

Nil

**2.2 Approval of Agenda**

The agenda was approved.

### 3. Minutes from Public Meeting # 4

#### 3.1 Clarification

Nil

#### 3.2 Approval of Minutes

Minutes were approved.

### 4. Minutes from Working Group Meeting # 10

#### 4.1 Clarification

Nil

#### 4.2 Approval of Minutes

Minutes were approved.

### 5. Correspondence

An opportunity was provided for members to review the correspondence. Correspondence received. No actions required.

Ian Hopkins provided clarification on previous correspondence with respect to variation in FCI costs. Basically, costs vary between schools for wiring due to the age of a facility, type of material, location of wiring and access. When roofing is involved, different levels and parts generate variances in costing. There is a starting point then costs are factored in based on specific needs and details.

### 6. ARC Report

#### 6.1 Discussion/Editing

The intent of the meeting was to finalize details of the report. An opportunity was provided for members to advise on any changes for refinement, clarity and accuracy. Michael Prendergast completed edits as the document was projected on the overhead screen. Once the report is complete, the document will be submitted to the Director and then presented to the Board of Trustees. In addition to discussions on editing, general comments were shared:

#### **Recommendation # 1 (originally Option # 32)**

- The recommendation includes a plan with funding and a plan without funding
- The fallback plan is included should funding not be available - it is not considered as another separate option
- Remove any reference to purchase of land south of the Linc (page 9)
- If there becomes a need for a new school south of the Linc, the Board would react as needed

#### **Recommendation # 2 (originally Option # 35)**

- Important to ensure buildings that remain open are getting all they need
- Need to ensure GL Armstrong is accessible and has air conditioning
- The Board does not have a policy for air conditioning and normally only the computer labs are air conditioned

- Once a school comes out of the ARC process, it is added to the renewal list where grant money can be used for maintenance
- It will be important to ensure buildings are ready as needed

#### Reference Criteria

- If consolidation increases enrolment the Board would need to ensure student needs are met and would need to look at accessibility
- Accommodations are made when students require accessibility - the system responds

#### Financial Impact

- Table 8 (Construction Costs) - Staff Options entire column to be removed (page 18)
- Table 9 (Renewal, Proceeds of Disposition and Land Costs) - Reference to land acquisition to be removed (page 19) - related wording in paragraph below also to be removed
- Table 11 (Total of Options) - reference to land acquisition will also be removed accordingly

Feedback for improving the ARC process can be provided to Michael Prendergast. Surveys have been circulated in the past to gather suggestions on how to improve the process and will be distributed again.

Michael Prendergast will communicate the date and details for presentation to Trustees and for delegations once confirmed. At that time, any committee members interested in presenting can volunteer.

#### 6.2 Approval as Amended

Members concurred with the revisions made to the report through consensus.

#### 7. Minutes from Working Group Meeting # 11

##### 7.1 Clarification

Nil

##### 7.2 Approval of Minutes

Minutes were approved.

#### 8. Adjournment

Michael Prendergast expressed appreciation to everyone for their time and dedication to the ARC review process for Central Mountain. The meeting adjourned at 8:00 p.m.

##### Handouts

- Agenda
- Draft Minutes - Public Meeting # 4
- Draft Minutes - Working Group Meeting # 10
- Correspondence
- Draft ARC Report